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## Lecture Overview

## 1. Part 1: Introduction to PCA

1. What is PCA used for?
2. What is a principle component?
3. How to interpret PCA results
4. Mathematics underlying PCA

## 2. Part 2: Performing PCA in R

1. Installing packages
2. Formatting the data
3. Running PCA
4. Making plots

## What is PCA used for?

When working with 'high-throughput' data such as DNA/RNA-seq, each sample can have measurements of 100's or even 10,000's of genes.

This high-number of 'features/variables/dimension' makes the data hard to interpret.
PCA is an un-supervised modelling technique, that decreases the number of dimensions in the data and thus helps us visualize characteristics of the data. In RNA-seq we can use PCA to answer two important questions:

1. Do samples with similar/different phenotypes have similar/different geneexpression profiles?
2. This is an important QC check, eg: do samples taken pre-treatment have similar expression profiles?
3. Do post-treatment samples look different to pre-treatment?
4. Which genes are most responsible for these similarities/differences?
5. PCA can provide a rough indication of which genes are different, however, there are 'better' methods for properly answering this question.

## What is PCA?

## Terminology

The First Principle Component (PC1): The First Principle Component is a line/plane in the data that explains most of the variation in that data. This plane will have fewer dimensions that the the original data.
The Second Principle Component (PC2): The First Principle Component is a line/plane in the data, perpendicular to PC1 that explains the 2nd most of the variation in that data.

Dimension Reduction: PCA is sometimes referred to as a 'dimension reduction' technique, since it can summarize large dimensional data into smaller dimensions. le: summarize 1,000 genes/dimensions into just 2 components/dimensions.


## Interpreting a PCA plot

Example\#1: Skin samples were taken from Psoriasis patients before treatment, samples of diseased skin and normal skin were taken, gene-expression profiles were measured and PCA was performed.

## Interpretation

- Samples that are close together have similar gene-expression profiles.
- Disease skin expression profiles are different to Normal skin.
- PC1 by definition represents most of the variation.
- Since skin type varies across PC1 we can say that Skin type accounts for most of the variation in the data.



## Interpreting a PCA plot

Example\#2: Skin samples were taken from Psoriasis patients before and after treatment (1 month and 3 months). Samples of diseased skin and normal skin were taken, gene-expression profiles were measured and PCA was performed.

## Interpretation

- Post treatment skin has similar profile to Normal skin, suggesting that treatment worked in these patients.
- Some samples still look diseased, perhaps these patients did not respond.



## Interpreting a PCA plot

## Bad Examples

- One sample is completely different to the rest, check this sample, probably just delete it.
- Samples analyzed on same date are grouped together, suggests a batch effect, consider batch adjustment.


Tissue

- Disease
- Normal

Batch Effect


Batch.Month

- 04
- 05
- 06
- 07
- 08


## Mathematics of PCA (how are PCs calculated)

- You do not need to fully understanding how to calculate a PC in order to use it in your research (you don't need to know how an engine works to drive a car)
- However, understanding the mathematics will help you understand and understand PCA at a deeper level, and will also help you understand other/similar techniques.
- I will give a brief introduction here, but I recommend watching the Chapter 10 videos on the following site to get the details (https://www.r-bloggers.com/2014/09/in-depth-introduction-to-machine-learning-in-15-hours-of-expert-videos/). (goldilocks zone)
- PC1 $\left(Z_{1}\right)$ is calculated using the formula: $Z_{1}=\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} X_{1}+\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2} X_{2}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{\phi}_{p} \boldsymbol{X}_{p}$, where X is the expression of each gene $p$, and values for each $\phi$ are optimized to maximize the variation whilst constraining the sum as all $\phi^{2}$ to be equal to 1 .
- Thus genes that contribute most to the variation will have higher $\phi$ values, which are often referred to a weights or loadings.


## Mathematics of PCA (deeper dive)

- Let's use a toy example to really breakdown how the loadings for PC1 are estimated.
- Imagine we have $\mathbf{1 0}$ samples, with measurements for 2 genes, GeneA and GeneB.

| SampleID | GeneA | GeneB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 5.1 | -3.6 |
| S2 | -5.6 | -2.6 |
| S3 | -3.3 | 0.5 |
| S4 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| S5 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| S6 | -8.5 | -3.6 |
| S7 | 1.8 | -5.3 |
| S8 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| S9 | -1.2 | 3.0 |
| S10 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |


$Z_{1}=\phi_{A} X_{A}+\phi_{B} X_{B}$
Let's choose some weights $\phi_{A}=1, \phi_{B}=0$ :
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$$
Z_{1}=\phi_{A} X_{A}+\phi_{B} X_{B}
$$

Let's choose some weights $\phi_{A}=1, \phi_{B}=0$ : Just uses GeneA values for variance calculation. Var=20.1

## Mathematics of PCA (deeper dive)

- Let's use a toy example to really breakdown how the loadings for PC1 are estimated.
- Imagine we have $\mathbf{1 0}$ samples, with measurements for 2 genes, GeneA and GeneB.

| SampleID | GeneA | GeneB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 5.1 | -3.6 |
| S2 | -5.6 | -2.6 |
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| S4 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| S5 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| S6 | -8.5 | -3.6 |
| S7 | 1.8 | -5.3 |
| S8 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| S9 | -1.2 | 3.0 |
| S10 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |



$$
Z_{1}=\phi_{A} X_{A}+\phi_{B} X_{B}
$$

Let's choose some more weights $\phi_{A}=0, \phi_{B}=1$ :

## Mathematics of PCA (deeper dive)

- Let's use a toy example to really breakdown how the loadings for PC1 are estimated.
- Imagine we have 10 samples, with measurements for 2 genes, GeneA and GeneB.

| SampleID | GeneA | GeneB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 5.1 | -3.6 |
| S2 | -5.6 | -2.6 |
| S3 | -3.3 | 0.5 |
| S4 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| S5 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| S6 | -8.5 | -3.6 |
| S7 | 1.8 | -5.3 |
| S8 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| S9 | -1.2 | 3.0 |
| S10 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |


$Z_{1}=\phi_{A} X_{A}+\phi_{B} X_{B}$
Let's choose some more weights $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{A}=0, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{B}=1$ : Essentially the variance of GeneB, var=17.2

|  | Wt_1 | Wt_2 | $\mathbf{W t}$ _3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{A}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\phi}_{B}^{2}\right)$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| $\operatorname{Var}$ | 20.1 | 17.2 |  |

## Mathematics of PCA (deeper dive)

- Let's use a toy example to really breakdown how the loadings for PC1 are estimated.
- Imagine we have $\mathbf{1 0}$ samples, with measurements for 2 genes, GeneA and GeneB.

| SampleID | GeneA | GeneB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 5.1 | -3.6 |
| S2 | -5.6 | -2.6 |
| S3 | -3.3 | 0.5 |
| S4 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| S5 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| S6 | -8.5 | -3.6 |
| S7 | 1.8 | -5.3 |
| S8 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| S9 | -1.2 | 3.0 |
| S10 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |


$Z_{1}=\phi_{A} X_{A}+\phi_{B} X_{B}$
Let's choose a third set of weights $\phi_{A}=-0.8, \phi_{B}=-0.6:$

|  | Wt_1 | Wt_2 | Wt_3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ | 1 | 0 | -0.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ | 0 | 1 | -0.6 |
| $\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{A}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\phi}_{B}^{2}\right)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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- Let's use a toy example to really breakdown how the loadings for PC1 are estimated.
- Imagine we have $\mathbf{1 0}$ samples, with measurements for 2 genes, GeneA and GeneB.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 5.1 | -3.6 |
| S2 | -5.6 | -2.6 |
| S3 | -3.3 | 0.5 |
| S4 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| S5 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| S6 | -8.5 | -3.6 |
| S7 | 1.8 | -5.3 |
| S8 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| S9 | -1.2 | 3.0 |
| S10 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |



$$
Z_{1}=\phi_{A} X_{A}+\phi_{B} X_{B}
$$

Let's choose a third set of weights

$$
\phi_{A}=-0.8, \phi_{B}=-0.6
$$

Use these weights calculate new data points for each sample.
The variance of these new points is 25.6. Higher than the other weights.

|  | Wt_1 | Wt_2 | Wt_3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ | 1 | 0 | -0.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{B}}$ | 0 | 1 | -0.6 |
| $\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{A}^{2}+\boldsymbol{\phi}_{B}^{2}\right)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Var | 20.1 | 17.2 |  |


| SampleID | $\left(\mathrm{GA}^{*}-0.8\right)$ | $\left(\mathrm{GB}^{*}-0.6\right)$ | SUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | -4.0 | 2.3 | -1.7 |
| S2 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 6.0 |
| S3 | 2.6 | -0.3 | 2.3 |
| S4 | -2.6 | -4.0 | -6.6 |
| S5 | -1.3 | -3.5 | -4.8 |
| S6 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 8.9 |
| S7 | -1.4 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| S8 | -3.6 | -2.4 | -5.9 |
| S9 | 0.9 | -1.9 | -0.9 |
| S10 | -0.5 | -0.2 | -0.7 |
| Var |  |  | 25.6 |

Thank You

